

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,078	08/07/2003		Gregory S. Helwig	25334A	9843
22889	7590	02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
OWENS C			TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ		
2790 COLU GRANVILL		-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/636,078	HELWIG, GREGORY S.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief									
Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•		because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 									
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling									
the non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	J						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-25</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>26-36</u> .									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:						
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:	7	WW Norca L. Torres-Ve	elazquez						
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1771							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as stated in the Final Office action, both the Nielsen et al. and the DE '418 references are directed to nonwoven materials, therefore, they are analogous art. The use of irregular shaped fibers as taught by the DE '418 reference in the structure of Nielsen et al. would have been recognized in order to increase the bulk of the nonwoven.